Densities and Dielectric Permittivities of Three Butanediols and Their Solutions with 10 mol % Water

Olga V. Grineva* and Vladimir I. Zhuravlev

Faculty of Physical Chemistry, Chemistry Department, Moscow State University, 117234 Moscow, Russia

The densities of 1,3-butanediol, 1,4-butanediol, 2,3-butanediol, and their solutions with 10 mol % water were measured in the range $20 \le t'^{\circ}C \le 70$. The dielectric permittivities of the same butanediols and their solutions were determined at 1 MHz between 10 °C and 90 °C. The results were compared with literature values.

Introduction

The density and dielectric permittivity are important properties of matter and of liquids in particular. The dielectric permittivity provides useful information on the intermolecular interactions in liquids. In our previous work (Zhuravlev, 1992) we used experimental densities and dielectric constants of pure propanediols and their solutions to calculate some related properties and then to discuss intermolecular association. Moreover density measurements may serve as a convenient and sufficiently accurate method to determine the purity of the investigated substance.

In this work we reported densities and dielectric permittivities of three butanediols. The substances under investigation are widely used in industry and scientific researches, and so there are some articles concerning their physical properties. However, literature information about densities of butanediols is discrepant, and it is rather difficult to determine the correct values. Possibly, the difference in the density values is due to the presence of water in some studied samples, as butanediols are hydroscopic liquids. To refine the influence of small additives of water on the density and dielectric permittivity of butanediols, we investigated pure butanediols and their solutions with 10 mol % (2.17 mass %) water.

Experimental Section

Materials. 1,3-Butanediol was from Merck-Schuchardt, and 2,3-butanediol was from Schuchardt-München. According to the supplier, the purities of the materials were better than 98% and 99%, respectively. 1,4-Butanediol was from Novocherkasskij zavod (USSR) with purity "rein".

Immediately prior to use the samples were fractionally distilled under reduced pressure. The middle fractions were used.

Apparatus and Procedures. For preparation of butanediol aqueous solutions, bidistilled deionized water was used. The solutions were made by mass with an estimated accuracy $\pm 5 \times 10^{-5}$ in the mole fraction. Each solution was prepared two to three times.

Densities were measured using glass monocapillar pycnometers which held approximately 20 cm³ of liquid. The pycnometers were thermostated within ± 0.02 deg. The temperatures were checked by a calibrated platinum resistance thermometer. The pycnometers were calibrated with bidistilled deionized water.

* email: ovg@physch.chem.msu.su.

The density measurements were made in three to four pycnometers and repeated three to five times, so the total number of measurements was 12–15 at each temperature. The reproducibility of results was within $\pm 5 \times 10^{-5} \, g \cdot cm^{-3}$. The absolute accuracy was estimated to be within $\pm 1 \times 10^{-4} \, g \cdot cm^{-3}$.

Dielectric permittivity measurements were carried out at 1 MHz using a bridge scheme. The measuring cell was a coaxial capacitor type. It was equipped with an E7-12 digital device for measurements of *L*, *C*, and *R*. The cell, having a stainless steel jacket, was thermostated to within ± 0.02 deg. The temperatures were measured by a calibrated platinum resistance thermometer.

The cell was calibrated with standard pure liquids: chlorobenzene (ϵ = 5.690 at 20 °C and 5.612 at 25 °C), 1-butanol (ϵ = 17.8 at 20 °C and 13.1 at 60 °C), acetone (ϵ = 20.7 at 25 °C), ethanol (ϵ = 24.35 at 25 °C and 22.8 at 35 °C), and bidistilled water (ϵ = 80.37 at 20 °C and 78.54 at 25 °C). The dielectric constants for standards were taken from the literature (Akhadov, 1972).

Experiments were performed four to five times at each temperature. The reproducibility of dielectric permittivity measurements was better than $\pm 0.3\%$, and the accuracy was estimated to be $\pm 1\%$.

Results and Discussion

Density. Experimental density values for the three butanediols and their solutions with 10 mol % water are given in Table 1. We also listed some representative literature values.

Clendenning *et al.* (1950) investigated a number of glycol + water systems and showed that the density of aqueous solutions of butanediols, as well as of propanediols and 1,2and 1,5-pentanediol, attain maximum values that are considerably above that of either the pure glycol or of water. So the purity of samples under investigation is very important considering that butanediols, especially 1,3- and 2,3-butanediol, are hydroscopic liquids.

Clendenning *et al.* (1950), Nakanishi *et al.* (1967), Durov *et al.* (1984), and authors of this work used a fractional distillation as a final stage of purification. Czechowski *et al.* (1988) stored the butanediols with 4 Å molecular sieves. Sun *et al.* (1992) used the commercial diols without further purification.

In accordance with Czechowski *et al.* (1988) their density measurements were reproducible to $\pm 1 \times 10^{-5}$ g·cm⁻³. Sun *et al.* (1992) noted that the reproducibility of the density data was found to be $\pm 0.1\%$, and the absolute accuracy was estimated to be within $\pm 0.25\%$. The authors of the other

Table 1. Densities ρ (g·cm⁻³) of Butanediols (1) + Water (2)

						$ ho/{ m g}{\cdot}{ m cm}^{-3}$						
					1,	3-Butaneo	diol					
		$x_1 = 1.0000$								070		
		Clende	nning Na	akanishi	Czechow	ski Su	Sun et al. (1992)		$- x_1 = 0.9$ Czechov	9073 wski <i>x</i>	$x_1 = 0.8997$	$x_1 = 0.8217^{\nu}$ Nakanishi
t/°C	this v	work et al. (1950) et a	al. (1967)	<i>et al.</i> (19	88) <i>t</i> /°	°C p		et al. (19	988) ^a	his work	<i>et al.</i> (1967) 1.00162
20.0 30.0 40.0) 1.00) 0.99) 0.98	342 d ²⁰ ₄ 1. 66 97	037 0.99778		1.0057 0.9990 0.9923	9 4 29 9	9.2	1.0006	1.007 1.001 0.994	78 06 46	1.00558 0.9988 0.9918	
50.0 60.0 70.0) 0.98) 0.97) 0.96	27 56 83				49 69).9).7	0.9861 0.9708	1		0.9847 0.9775 0.9701	
					1,	4-Butaneo	diol					
				$x_1 = 1.0000$						<i>x</i> ₁ =	= 0.9000	
t/°C	this work	Clendenning et al. (1950)	Nakanishi <i>et al.</i> (1967)	Czechowsk <i>et al.</i> (1988	$\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\operatorname{Sun} et}{t^{\circ} C}$	<i>al.</i> (1992) ρ	et	Bald <i>al.</i> (1992)	$x_1 = 0.9005$ Czechowski <i>et al.</i> (1988) ²	this work	Bald <i>et al.</i> (1992)	$x_1 = 0.8598^b$ Nakanishi <i>et al.</i> (1967)
20.0 25.0 30.0	1.01573 1.0127 1.0096	d ²⁰ ₄ 1.0185	1.01289	1.01622	30.1	1 0114		1.0129	1.01797	1.01733 1.0142 1.0111	1.0143	1.01492
40.0 50.0 60.0	1.0034 0.9972 0.9910			1.00415	48.9	0.9990			1.00564	1.0049 0.9986 0.9923		
70.0	0.9848				69.8	0.9864				0.9859		
					2,	3-Butaneo	diol					
				$x_1 = 1$	1.0000						$x_1 = 0.9000$	
			Durov				Sun <i>et al.</i> (1992)					Durov
	t/°C	this work	с е	<i>t al.</i> (1984)		t∕°C		ρ		this work	et	al. (1984)
20.0 30.0		0.99193 0.9835 0.9750	9193 0.9921 1835 0.9847 1750 0.9764		30.1			0.9849	9	0.99445 0.9860 0.9774		0.9953 0.9864 0.9784
	40.0 50.0 60.0	0.9664 0.9577		0.9621		49.7		0.968	5	0.9688		0.9604
	70.0	0.9489				70.4		0.9502	2	0.9513		

^{*a*} Density values were calculated using excess molar volumes ($V_{\rm m}^{\rm E}$) given by Czechowski et al. (1988). ^{*b*} Calculated from the weight fraction.

cited works did not report information about the accuracy of their density measurements.

From Table 1, our density value for 1,3-butanediol at 20 °C is in good agreement ($\pm 3 \times 10^{-4}$ g·cm⁻³) with that of Clendenning et al. (1950). The density measured at 30 °C by Nakanishi et al. (1967) is greater than ours by 1.2 \times 10^{-3} g·cm⁻³, while the density values for 1,3-butanediol determined by Czechowski et al. (1988) and Sun et al. (1992) are considerably greater than ours, by (2.4–2.7) \times 10^{-3} g·cm⁻³ and by (2.3–3.4) \times 10^{-3} g·cm⁻³, respectively, and even somewhat greater, (0.2–1.4) \times 10⁻³ g cm⁻³, than our values for 1,3-butanediol solution with 10 mol % water. These differences are much more than the experimental error given by Czechowski et al. (1988) and greater than the estimated accuracy given by Sun et al. (1992). Thus the water content in 1,3-butanediol samples used in both these works may be estimated as >2 mass %, although its purities according to the suppliers were better than 99 mass %. As 1,3-butanediol readily absorbs water during the storing or handling, we believe that to determine the correct values of physical properties it is necessary to do a fractional distillation immediately before the measurements.

From Table 1, our density values for pure 1,4-butanediol and its solution with water at 25 °C are in excellent agreement ($\pm 2 \times 10^{-4}$ g·cm⁻³) with those of Nakanishi *et al.* (1967) and Bald *et al.* (1992). The density of 1,4butanediol measured by Clendenning *et al.* (1950) at 20 °C is higher than ours by 2.8 × 10⁻³ g·cm⁻³. It is rather suprising, because our results for 1,3-butanediol (this work) and 1,2- and 1,3-propanediol (Zhuravlev, 1992) agree within $\pm 4 \times 10^{-4}$ g·cm⁻³ with those of Clendenning *et al.* (1950). We cannot suggest any reasonable explanation for this discrepancy. The density values for 1,4-butanediol and its solution with 10 mol % water by Czechowski *et al.* (1988) are greater than ours by (5–7) $\times 10^{-4}$ g·cm⁻³. The densities of 1,4-butanediol measured by Sun *et al.* (1992) are greater than ours by (1.2–1.8) $\times 10^{-3}$ g·cm⁻³.

2,3-Butanediol can exist in several isomeric forms (d, l, and meso) or as a mixture of these stereoisomers. The physico-chemical properties of these isomers are quite different. In this as well as in the cited works the samples of commercial 2,3-butanediol with an unknown stereoisomeric composition were investigated. The density value of pure 2,3-butanediol measured by Durov et al. (1984) at 20 °C is in very good agreement with ours (+2 \times 10^{-4} g·cm⁻³); for 30 °C to 40 °C their results are greater than ours by (1.2–1.4) \times 10⁻³ g·cm⁻³ and at 60 °C by 4.4 \times 10⁻³ g·cm⁻³. At the same time the densities of 2,3-butanediol solution with 10 mol % water determined by Durov et al. (1984) are greater than ours by $(3-10) \times 10^{-4}$ g·cm⁻³ in all temperature ranges, and the minimum difference (3 imes 10^{-4} g·cm⁻³) is at 60 °C. So we assume that the density value for 2,3-butanediol at 60 °C given by Durov et al. (1984) is incorrect due to experimental or typographical error. The densities measured by Sun et al. (1992) are greater than ours by $(1.4-2) \times 10^{-3}$ g·cm⁻³. As in the case of 1,4-butanediol these differences (up to 0.21%) are within the estimated absolute density accuracy given by Sun et al. (1992). Nevertheless, we assume that the samples used

Table 2. Dielectric Permittivities ϵ of Butanediols (1) + Water (2)

1,3-Butanediol											
$x_1 = 1.0000$											
	this Saga		McDuffi	Rajya	am	$x_1 =$	0.9000				
t⁄°C	C work (1962)		Litovitz (1962) ^a	<i>et al.</i> (1	977)	this work				
10.0	30.77		32.0 ¹	0.3			32.42				
15.0	29.98	30.25					3	1.56			
20.0	29.20						3	0.73			
25.0	28.43	28.8			00.1	0	2	9.93			
30.0	27.71	97 95		28.18		29.14					
33.0 40.0	26.94	21.23				27.64					
45.0	25.62						$\tilde{2}$	6.92			
50.0	24.95	25.25					2	6.20			
55.0	24.33						2	5.53			
60.0	23.68						2	4.85			
65.0	23.09						2	4.17			
70.0	22.49						29	3.37 2 07			
80.0	21.32							2.36			
85.0	20.78						$\tilde{2}$	1.78			
90.0	20.24						2	1.23			
			1,4-Bu	itanedio	ol						
		<i>x</i> ₁ =	1.0000			$x_1 = 0$	0.900	0			
	this Carel		Safonova	Bald	+h.*-	Safor	afonova Bal				
t/°C	work	Sagar (1962)	(1980)	(1992)	work	(19	ar. 80)	(1992)			
10.0	33.43	()	()	()	35.15	(()			
15.0	32.54	32.5	33.8		34.10	34	.8				
20.0	31.70	0210	32.9		33.14	34	.0				
25.0	30.84	30.8	31.9	30.33	32.25	33	.0	31.84			
30.0	30.05		30.9		31.39	32	.0				
35.0	29.26	29.2	30.0		30.58	31	.0				
40.0	28.46		29.0		29.70	30	.1				
45.0	27.74	27.0	28.2 27.2		28.98	29	.3				
55.0	26.32	21.0	26.5		27 43	27	. 4 7				
60.0	25.62		20.0		26.67	~ .	••				
65.0	24.94				25.99						
70.0	24.30				25.26						
75.0	23.66				24.63						
80.0	23.04				23.96						
85.U 90.0	22.42				23.33						
00.0	21.00		9 9 D.	tonodia	<i>~~</i>						
			$x_1 = 1.0$		<u>n</u>						
			Vorb	atorna	ra Raa	-	v. — 1	1 0000			
t/°C	th	is work	and Bh	anumat	hi (1978	3)	this	work			
10.0)	22.77					24	.38			
15.0) 1	22.14					23	.74			
20.0)	21.55						23.10			
25.0		20.98		20.15		22.40					
35.0)	-0.40 19.95		20.15			21	.33			
40.0)	19.46					20	.78			
45.0)	18.96					20	.26			
50.0)	18.52		18.65			19	.77			
55.0)	18.07				19.28					
60.0	,	17.03						18.81			
70.0)	16.81		16.86			18.35				
75.0)	16.41		10.80			17.45				
80.0)	16.03						17.02			
85.0)	15.65						16.58			
90.0	15.32						16	.18			

 $^{\it a}$ Superscript indicates temperature at which dielectric measurement was carried out.

by Sun *et al.* (1992) contained some water impurities because for all three diols their densities are considerably greater than ours. On the other hand, one may suppose that there is a systematic experimental error in Sun's work. **Dielectric Permittivity.** Experimental values for the dielectric permittivity (ϵ) are given in Table 2. We also listed literature values in the temperature range.

Dielectric measurements were performed at 1 MHz in this work as well as by Rajyam *et al.* (1977), Safonova *et al.* (1980), Venkateswara Rao and Bhanumathi (1978) and at 100 kHz by Sagal (1962).

Sagal (1962) reported that static dielectric constants were measured to $\pm 1\%$. In accordance with Safonova *et al.* (1980) the accuracy of their dielectric permittivity measurements was within $\pm 0.5\%$. Other investigators did not report on the accuracy of their dielectric data.

The dielectric permittivities of 1,3-butanediol determined by Sagal (1962) are in good agreement with ours (greater by 0.9-1.2%), and ϵ measured at 30 °C by Rajyam *et al.* (1977) is greater than ours by 1.7%. In both cited works the distillation of samples before investigation was used. The dielectric constant of 1,3-butanediol determined at 10.3 °C by McDuffie and Litovitz (1962) is greater than ours by 4% as in the case of other polyhydric alcohols (Grineva *et al.*, 1996). McDuffie and Litovitz (1962) boiled the samples under vacuum for 8 h to 10 h to dehydrate them and estimated their water contents on the order of 1 mass %. On the basis of our experiments we believe that the water content in above samples was about 2 mass %.

According to Curme and Johnston (1953), 1,4-butanediol freezes at 16 °C. Possibly, this is the correct value because in the range 18 °C to 20 °C we did not observe any solidification. However, it is known that 1,4-butanediol can be easily supercooled. Hinopoulos and Sakellaridis (1977) measured dielectric constants of 1,4-butanediol, and in their experiments glycol solidified at 5 °C. Sagal (1962) and Safonova et al. (1980) measured the dielectric permittivity of 1,4-butanediol in the liquid state at 15 °C. From our experiments, the temperature of freezing of 1,4butanediol depends not only on water content but also on some other conditions. We did not undertake a special investigation of this question, but several times we could measure the dielectric constants of pure 1,4-butanediol and its solution with 10 mol % water at 10 °C in the liquid state and several times the solidification began at the same temperature (dielectric permittivity decreased). For all samples the difference in ϵ above 20 °C was within ±0.1% (better than for other investigated diols).

Our results for 1,4-butanediol are in excellent agreement with those of Sagal (1962) ($\pm 0.2\%$). The dielectric constants of pure 1,4-butanediol and its water solution measured at 25 °C by Bald et al. (1992) are lower than ours by 1.3 to 1.7%. At the same time the densities measured by Bald et al. (1992) are in very good agreement with ours. So we connect the differences in ϵ as a result of the dielectric cell calibration. Safonova et al. (1980) detected the water content in investigated 1,4-butanediol as <0.02 mass %. At the same time dielectric permittivities determined by Safonova et al. (1980) were greater than ours and differences at 15 °C reached 3.8% for pure 1,4-butanediol and 2% for solution. With increasing temperature the differences between our and Safonova *et al.* (1980) ϵ values decrease and constitute 0.8 to 1% at 55 °C. For explanation of these discrepancies we may suspect only an incorrect dielectric measurement technique in Safonova et al. (1980).

Our dielectric constants of 2,3-butanediol are in satisfactory agreement ($\pm 1.5\%$) with those of Venkateswara Rao and Bhanumathi (1978).

Author Supplied Registry Nos.: 1,3-Butanediol, 107-88-0; 1,4-butanediol, 110-63-4; 2,3-butanediol, 513-85-9; water, 7732-18-5.

Literature Cited

- Akhadov, Ya. Yu. Dielektricheskie Svoistva Chistykh Zhidkostej; Izd. Standartov: Moscow, 1972
- Bald, A.; Szejgis, A.; Woźnicka, J.; Jóźwiak, M. Physicochemical Properties of Butanediol Water Systems. Part 1. Densimetric, Viscometric and Dielectric Investigations of Butane-1,4-diol – Water Mixtures and Conductivity of Sodium Iodide in the Mixed Solvent. *J. Chem. Research (S)* **1992**, 117. Clendenning, K. A.; Macdonald, F. J.; Wright, D. E. Effects of Position
- Isomerism on the Physical Properties of Glycols. Can. J. Research, B 1950. 28B. 608-622.

- Curme, G. O.; Johnston, F. *Glycols*; Reinhold: New York, 1953.Czechowski, G.; Żywucki, B.; Jadżyn, J. Excess Molar Volumes of Binary Mixtures of Diols and Water. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **1988**, *33*, 55 - 57
- Durov, V. A.; Ziyaev, G. M.; Shakhparonov, M. I. Study of the Acoustic Spectra of 2,3-Butanediol and Its Aqueous Solutions. Vestn. Mosk. Univ., Ser. 2: Khim. 1984, 25, 248-251.
- Grineva, O. V.; Zhuravlev, V. I.; Lifanova, N. V. Densities and Dielectric Permittivities of Four Polyhydric Alcohols. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1996, 41, 155-157.
- Hinopoulos, B.; Sakellaridis, P. U. Low Frequency Dispersion of a Molecular Crystal. Z. Naturforsch., A 1977, 32A, 515–516.
- McDuffie, G. E., Jr.; Litovitz, T. A. Dielectric Relaxation in Associated Liquids. J. Chem. Phys. 1962, 37, 1699-1705.

- Nakanishi, K.; Kato, N.; Maruyama, M. Excess and Partial Volumes of Some Alcohol Water and Glycol Water Solutions. J. Phys. Chem. 1967, 71, 814-818.
- Rajyam, B. S.; Rama Sastry, C. V.; Murty, C. R. K. Asymetric Dielectric Dispersion in Glycols. Z. Naturforsch., A 1977, 32A, 1309–1312.
- Safonova, L. P.; Egorova, I. V.; Krestov, G. A. Dielectric Constant of Aqueous Solutions of 1,3-Propanediol and 1,4-Butanediol at Different Temperatures. Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved., Khim. Khim. Tekhnol. 1980, 23, 1563-1565.
- Sagal, M. W. Dielectric Relaxation in Liquid Alcohols and Diols. J. Chem. Phys. 1962, 36, 2437-2442.
- Sun, T.; DiGuilio, R. M.; Teja, A. S. Densities and Viscosities of Four Butanediols between 293 and 463 K. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1992, 37, 246-248.
- Venkateswara Rao, A.; Bhanumathi, A. Dielectric Dispersion in 2,3-Butanediol. Indian J. Pure Appl. Phys. 1978, 16, 553-554.
- Zhuravlev, V. I. Structures of Polyatomic Alcohols and Their Solutions from Dielectric Spectroscopic Data. Equilibrium and Dynamic Properties of Propanediols. *Zh. Fiz. Khim.* **1992**, *66*, 225–236.

Received for review December 12, 1995. Accepted February 27, 1996.⊗

JE950313N

[®] Abstract published in Advance ACS Abstracts, April 15, 1996.